

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,366	07/03/2001	Gennaro A. Cuomo	RSW920000184US1	1082
7590 08/17/2005			EXAMINER	
DUKE W. YEE, ESQ.			TO, JENNIFER N	
YEE \$ ASSOC	CIATES			
4100 ALPHA ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1100			2195	
DALLAS, TX 75244			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/898,366	CUOMO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer N. To	2195				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVENE AM	ONTHYO) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08/0</u>	<u>03/2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	<u>_</u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8-10,13,14,16-18,21,22 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8-10,13,14,16-18,21,22 and 24 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8-10, 13-14, 16-18, 21-22, and 24 are pended for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5, 8-10, 13, 16-18, 21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bhatti et al (hereafter Bhatti) ("Web Server Support for Tiered Services", 1999).
- Bhatti was cited in the last office action.
- 5. As per claim 1, Bhatti teaches a method in a computer system executing a Webbased application (abstract, lines 1-12), said method comprising the step of:

associating one of a plurality of different priorities with each one of a plurality of different HTTP requests that are processed by an application (fig. 7; page 67, request classification section, connection manager section);

establishing a plurality of different, separate queues (fig. 7);

associating each one of said plurality of different queues with a different one of a plurality of priorities (page 67, connection manager section);

Application/Control Number: 09/898,366

Art Unit: 2195

for each one of said plurality of HTTP requests, storing one of said plurality of HTTP requests in one of said plurality of different queues that is associated with one of said plurality of priorities that is associated with said one of said plurality of HTTP requests, wherein all of said plurality of HTTP requests that are associated with a first one of said plurality of priorities are stored in a first one of said plurality of different queues that is associated with said first one of said plurality of priorities, and all of said plurality of HTTP requests that are associated with a second one of said plurality of priorities are stored in a second one of said plurality of different queues that is associated with said second one of said plurality of priorities (fig. 7; page 67 connection manager section, request classification section); and

completing processing of multiple ones of said plurality of HTTP requests that are stored in said first one of said plurality of different queues before beginning processing of one of said plurality of HTTP requests that are stored in said second one of said plurality of different queues regardless of whether or not said first one of said plurality of priorities is a higher priority than said second one of said plurality of priorities (fig. 7; page 67, connection manager section; page 68, request scheduling section, lines 1-14).

6. As per claim 2, Bhatti further teaches:

associating one of a plurality of types or requests with each one of said plurality of different priorities (page 67, request classification section);

identifying a type of each of said plurality of HTTP requests (page 67, connection manager section); and

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/898,366

Art Unit: 2195

for each one of said plurality of HTTP requests, determining one of said plurality of different priorities associated with a type that was determined for each of said plurality of HTTP requests (page 67, connection manager section).

- 7. As per claim 5, Bhatti further teaches: receiving said plurality of HTTP requests by said application (fig. 7); and determining on of said plurality of different priorities that is associated with a type of each one of said plurality of HTTP requests (fig. 7).
- 8. As per claim 8, Bhatti further teaches:

storing ones of said plurality of requests having a type associated with a high priority in one of said plurality of queues that is associated with said high priority (fig. 7);

storing ones of said plurality of requests having a type associated with a low priority in one of said plurality of queues that is associated with said low priority (fig. 7); and

completing processing of said ones of said plurality of requests stored in said one of said plurality of queues that is associated with said high priority before processing said ones of said plurality of requests stored in said one of said plurality of queues that is associated with a low priority (fig. 7; page 68, request scheduling section, lines 1-14).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,366 Page 5

Art Unit: 2195

9. As per claims 9-10, 13, and 16, these are computer program product claims that correspond to the method claims 1-2, 5, and 8. Therefore, these claims are rejected with the same reason as the method claims 1-2, 5, and 8 above.

10. As per claims17-18, 21, and 24, these are computer system claims that correspond to the method claims 1-2, 5, and 8. Therefore, these claims are rejected with the same reason as the method claims 1-2, 5, and 8 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 6, 14, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhatti et al ("Web Server Support for Tiered Services", 1999), as applied in claim 1 above, and further in view of Ferguson (U.S. Patent No. 6769019).
- 13. Ferguson was cited in the last office action.
- 14. As per claim 6, Bhatti further teaches:

Application/Control Number: 09/898,366

Art Unit: 2195

receiving said plurality of HTTP requests by said application (fig. 7; page 67, connection manager);

determining a type of said one of said plurality of requests (fig. 7; page 67, request classification section);

identifying one of said plurality of priorities that is associated with said type (fig. 7; page 67, connection manager section); and

storing said one of said plurality of requests in said identified one of said plurality of queues (fig. 7).

Bhatti did not specifically teaches:

determining whether there is a backlog of pending requests waiting to be processed by said application; and

in response to a determination that there is no backlog, immediately processing said one of said plurality of HTTP requests.

15. However, Ferguson teaches:

determining whether there is a backlog of pending requests waiting to be processed by said application (col. 12, lines 2-3); and

in response to a determination that there is no backlog, immediately processing said one of said plurality of HTTP requests (col. 12, lines 3-4).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/898,366

Art Unit: 2195

- 16. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of Bhatti, and Ferguson because Ferguson is teaching of determining a backlog of pending requests and immediately processing the requests would improve the performance of Bhatti's system by reducing or eliminating the user's wait time for downloading (Ferguson, col. 3, lines 18-19).
- 17. As per claim 14, it is a computer program product claim that corresponds to the method claim 1. Therefore, this claim is rejected with the same reason as the method claim 1 above.
- 18. As per claim 22, it is a computer system claim that corresponds to the method claim 1. Therefore, this claim is rejected with the same reason as the method claim 1 above.

Response to Arguments

- 19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 5, 6, 8-10, 13-14, 16-18, 21-22, and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,366 Page 8

Art Unit: 2195

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer N. To whose telephone number is (571) 272-7212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7AM-4:30 PM, F 7AM-3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISION PATENT PLANINGER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100